Knittel, Janette

From: Hale, Elly

Sent: Friday, November 01, 2019 10:55 AM

To: Knittel, Janette; Rick Thomas (RITH461@ECY.WA.GOV)

Cc: Hoffman, Erika

Subject: FW: Proposed Work CERCLA Area (Coordination NWS-2018-1001)

Attachments: PN 2018-1001 final.docx; Boeing_DC_Thompson_JARPA_dwg_2019_0927.pdf;

Boeing_DC_Thompson_JARPA_app_2019_0621_signed.pdf

Hi, Rick and Tamara (and Janette)

This Boeing proposed shoreline stabilization work came up a while back and has been modified somewhat based on initial feedback. I'd like to coordinate with you before we comment.

I spoke to Boeing's LDWG reps (Joe and Lindsay) and they plan to talk to LDWG about this soon. LDWG will be interested, as one element is right next to the carbon pilot and all may be in contaminated areas or areas where design data will be/should be collected. I told Joe and Lindsay that we would probably ask them to provide more context about the areas they propose to do work in, so we can provide appropriate input. It may make sense to phase the work, to coordinate with Year 3 monitoring and PDI sampling.

The work areas are also next to some upland properties undergoing MTCA and/or RCRA studies and cleanups. It makes sense to integrate the work where possible. In particular, the work proposed (I think) where the old Slip 5 was filled probably needs to be characterized, and if the remedy involved removal of fill, maybe they could get habitat benefits. They said that the Slip 5 area is needed for adequate turning radius for planes to enter and leave the hangar--but that other areas are being used for parking.

So for ECY, I'm thinking we need to discuss MTCA sites, what is known and what is planned, as well as any other source control input you might have (e.g. around the outfalls that will be modified). Other ECY stuff may come up.

Elly Hale
US Environmental Protection Agency R10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155, M/S 12-D12-1 Seattle, Washington 98101-3188
(206) 553-1215
hale.elly@epa.gov

----Original Message-----

From: Hoffman, Erika < Hoffman. Erika@epa.gov> Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 2:55 PM

To: Hale, Elly <Hale.Elly@epa.gov>

Cc: Rave-Perkins, Krista < Rave-Perkins. Krista@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Proposed Work CERCLA Area (Coordination NWS-2018-1001)

And I should mention that I went to a pre-app meeting on this project back in May 2018 as well as a site visit in June of that same year. Krista Rave-Perkins came to both the site visit and the pre-app meeting. I'm cc'ing her on this message to bring her into the loop.

Upshot of our comments to them at that time was that the entire design needed to be "softened" to include more habitat components and less riprap. The entire focus of the original design was minimizing scour and improving bank stability with pretty much no thought of juvenile/adult salmon or habitat.

Erika

<<<>>><<>>><<>>><<

Erika Hoffman | Biologist | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington Operations Office | 300 Desmond Drive, Suite 102 | Lacey, WA 98503 | 360.753.9540 |

----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Rory W CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) < Rory.W.Lee@usace.army.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 9:37 AM To: Hoffman, Erika < Hoffman. Erika@epa.gov>

Subject: Proposed Work CERCLA Area (Coordination NWS-2018-1001)

Good morning Erika,

Reference: NWS-2018-1001, Boeing DC Thompson (Bank Stabilization)

Location: In Duwamish River at Seattle, Washington.

Project Description: The Boeing Company proposes bank stabilization improvements at their industrial facility. Bank stabilization improvements below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) include replacement of 340 linear feet of timber pile bulkhead with rip-rap, installation of anchored logs, repairs to seven timber pile dikes and placement of 900 linear feet of new rip-rap. Additional work would include removal of two track docks, concrete slab, and repairs to existing outfalls.

The purpose of the project is to protect Boeing's existing infrastructure.

Additional Information: Please see draft public notice and JARPA (attached)

Please let me know if you have any questions,

Rory W. Lee Project Manager Biologist, Regulatory Branch Seattle District, USACE (206) 316-3360

WASHINGTON STATE Joint Aquatic Resources Permit Application (JARPA) Form^{1,2} [help]

US Army Corps of Engineers * Seattle District

Date received:	
Agency reference #: _	

AGENCY USE ONLY

USE BLACK OR BLUE INK TO ENTER ANSWERS IN THE WHITE SPACES BELOW.

Tax	Parcel #(s):	THE ST
		THE

Part 1-Project Identification

1. Project Name (A name for your project that you create. Examples: Smith's Dock or Seabrook Lane Development) [help]

Boeing Developmental Center and Thompson Site Duwamish Riverbank Refurbishment

Part 2-Applicant

The person and/or organization responsible for the project. [help]

2a. Name (Last, Fir	st, Middle)		
Martin Probst			
2b. Organization	If applicable)		
The Boeing Comp	any, Facilities and Asse	et Management	
2c. Mailing Addre	SS (Street or PO Box)		
PO Box 3707, MC	46-208		
2d. City, State, Zi	р		The state of the state of the state of
Seattle, WA 9812	4-2207		
2e. Phone (1)	2f. Phone (2)	2g. Fax	2h. E-mail
206-852-4985		253-657-4659	Martin.R.Probst@Boeing.com

For other help, contact the Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance at (800) 917-0043 or help@oria.wa.gov.

ORIA-16-011 Page 1 of 18

¹Additional forms may be required for the following permits:

If your project may qualify for Department of the Army authorization through a Regional General Permit (RGP), contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for application information (206) 764-3495.

If your project might affect species listed under the Endangered Species Act, you will need to fill out a Specific Project Information Form (SPIF) or prepare a Biological Evaluation. Forms can be found at http://www.nws.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/Regulatory/PermitGuidebook/EndangeredSpecies.aspx.

Not all cities and counties accept the JARPA for their local Shoreline permits. If you need a Shoreline permit, contact the appropriate city or county government to make sure they accept the JARPA.

²To access an online JARPA form with [help] screens, go to http://www.epermitting.wa.gov/site/alias resourcecenter/jarpa jarpa form/9984/jarpa form.aspx.

Part 3-Authorized Agent or Contact

Person authorized to represent the applicant about the project. (Note: Authorized agent(s) must sign 11b of this application.) [help]

Stoneman, Scott	1 - 19/		
<u> </u>			
3b. Organization (If	applicable)		
Golder Associates I	nc.		
3c. Mailing Address	S (Street or PO Box)		
18300 NE Union Hi	ll Road, Suite 200		
3d. City, State, Zip			
Redmond, WA 980	52		
3e. Phone (1)	3f. Phone (2)	3g. Fax	3h. E-mail
206-316-5663	425-505-3952		sstoneman@golder.com
the DNR at (360)	Department of Natural 902-1100 to determine	Resources (DNR)-m	anaged aquatic lands. If you don't know, contact hip. If yes, complete <u>JARPA Attachment E</u> to
apply for the Aqua 4a. Name (Last, First	atic Use Authorization. Middle)		
4b. Organization (If	applicable)	ero-til elu	
4c. Mailing Address	(Street or PO Boy)		
A CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE	(Gileet of 1 O Box)		
4d. City, State, Zip	(Gileet of 1 O Box)		

Part 5-Project Location(s)

Identifying information about the property or properties where the project will occur. [help]

☐ There are multiple project locations (e.g. linear projects). Complete the section below and use <u>JARPA</u> <u>Attachment B</u> for each additional project location.

5a. Indicate the type of own	nership of the property.	(Check all that apply.) [help]	
⊠ Private			
☐ Federal			
☐ Publicly owned (state, cou	inty, city, special districts like	schools, ports, etc.)	
□ Tribal			
☐ Department of Natural R	lesources (DNR) – man	aged aquatic lands (Complete	JARPA Attachment E)
5b. Street Address (Cannot	be a PO Box. If there is no a	ddress, provide other location informa	ation in 5p.) [help]
Developmental Center: 97	25 E Marginal Way S		
Thompson Site: 8701 E Ma	arginal Way S		
5c. City, State, Zip (If the pro	oject is not in a city or town, p	provide the name of the nearest city or	r town.) [help]
Tukwila, WA 98108			
5d. County [help]			
King			
5e. Provide the section, too	wnship, and range for th	ne project location. [help]	
1/4 Section	Section	Township	Range

SW and SE 33 24 **5f.** Provide the latitude and longitude of the project location. [help]

Example: 47.03922 N lat. / -122.89142 W long. (Use decimal degrees - NAD 83)

Developmental Center: 47.51277 N Lat / -122.30166 W Long

Thompson Site: 47.52333 N Lat / -122.30722 W Long

4

5g. List the tax parcel number(s) for the project location. [help]

• The local county assessor's office can provide this information.

Developmental Center: 5624201038, 5624201032, 5624200990, & 0003400018

Thompson Site: 0007400033

NW and NE

5h. Contact information for all adjoining property owners. (If you need more space, use JARPA Attachment C.) [help]

23

4 (DC)

04 (Thompson and Slip 6)

Name	Mailing Address	Tax Parcel # (if known)
Insurance Auto Auctions	8801 East Marginal Way	540000000
(CenterPoint)	Seattle WA 98108	5422600060
Container Properties LLC	P O Box 1043	5400000040
	Kent WA 98035	5422600010
Container Properties LLC All other adjacent properties are owned by Boeing		

ORIA-16-011 Page 3 of 18

5i. List all wetlands on or adjacent to the project location. [help]
N.A.
5j. List all waterbodies (other than wetlands) on or adjacent to the project location. [help]
Lower Duwamish Waterway
5k. Is any part of the project area within a 100-year floodplain? [help]
⊠ Yes □ No □ Don't know
The proposed riverbank refurbishment at both the Developmental Center and Thompson Site include work (excavation and fill) within the OHWM, which is within the 100-year floodplain.
5l. Briefly describe the vegetation and habitat conditions on the property. [help]
Terrestrial and riparian habitats are limited within the project area. Terrestrial habitat, defined as areas landward of the top of bank, within the project area consist largely of industrial areas, landscaped areas, and patches of native vegetation growing toward the top of the bank along riprapped areas. Impervious surfaces cover much of the upland portion of the project area.
Riparian habitat, defined as the zone between MHHW and the top of bank, within the project area contains patches of riparian vegetation. Fringe areas between the MHHW mark and the top of bank that are adjacent to impervious surfaces include scattered patches of trees, grasses, and weedy forbs. The majority of the shoreline is hardened with riprap or bulkheads. In several areas, riprap and other miscellaneous debris extends below the MHHW elevations, affecting intertidal habitat quality.
5m. Describe how the property is currently used. [help]
Commercial property of The Boeing Company. Adjacent uplands typically associated with parking facilities and other industrial support uses.
5n. Describe how the adjacent properties are currently used. [help]
Commercial property of The Boeing Company used for general business.
Insurance Auto Auctions used for the collection and auction of salvaged vehicles.
50. Describe the structures (above and below ground) on the property, including their purpose(s) and current condition. [help]
Industrial buildings and parking areas with typical utilities.
5p. Provide driving directions from the closest highway to the project location, and attach a map. [help]
The project is located off of East Marginal Way in Tukwila, WA. Site access is controlled and requires coordination with The Boeing Company. From USACE Seattle offices, take East Marginal Way south to Boeing properties.

ORIA-16-011 Page 4 of 18

Part 6-Project Description

6a. Briefly summarize the overall project. You can provide more detail in 6b. [help]

The Riverbank Refurbishment Project (Project) comprises riverbank refurbishment along the Lower Duwamish Waterway frontage at the Developmental Center (including Slip 6) (DC) and Thompson sites. This reach of the Lower Duwamish Waterway is located approximately 5 miles upstream and south of Elliot Bay. The Thompson Site (Area 1) is about 3,000 feet south of South Park Bridge and about 2,000 feet north of Slip 6. The Developmental Center comprises Slip 6 (Area 2) in the North and the riverbank on either side of the North Oxbow Bridge (Areas 3 and 4). The scope of work for each of the areas proposed are as follows:

Area 1 (Thompson Site)

The Thompson Site consists of approximately 340 feet of at-risk riverbank with fine-grained low-sloping intertidal beach that abuts an eroding and degrading timber pile wall. The existing timber pile wall is critically holding up the toe of the bank and the upper bank has erosional areas with 4- to 6-foot high vertical scarps. These erosional processes have led to some sloughing of the paved area and to the perimeter fence leaning toward the river at the top of the bank.

The scope of work is as follows:

- Removal of the existing timber pile wall at the toe of the slope.
- · Removal of the invasive species vegetation above the existing wall.
- Regrade riverbank at a 2H:1V slope faced with riprap in place of the existing timber pile wall. Riprap to be keyed in at the toe of existing wall and extended up to the OHWM. By removing the existing vertical timber pile wall and replacing with sloped riprap armoring, intertidal surface area will be gained.
- Log structures for habitat incorporated within the riprap slope.
- A natural coarse sediment mix to be placed within the interstitial spaces of the riprap.
- · Installation of a sheet pile wall upland of the OHWM.
- · Extension of the stormwater outfall on the south end of the area.
- · Replacement of adjacent asphalt pavement, curbing, fencing and pavement re-striping.

Area 2 (Developmental Center Slip 6)

At the head of Slip 6, located on the north end of the DC property, a 30-foot long section of bank had eroded and resulted in an over-steepened bank and existing vegetation being undercut with root structures exposed. Slip 6 is located away from wave and flow processes, and therefore more likely to experience stability concerns from groundwater seeping through the historic channel geometry, overland flow down the banks, weak soils from historic backfill material and scour resulting from vessel propeller wash.

The scope of work for this area is as follows:

- Installation of anchored log structures aligned horizontally along the shoreline (just below OHWM)
- Placement of riprap at a 2H:1V at the base of the logs to provide a stable platform.
- A natural coarse sediment mix to be placed within the interstitial spaces of the riprap.
- Placement of small diameter angular rock behind log structures to provide drainage behind logs.
- Above log structures (and above OHWM), installation of vegetated coir lifts incorporated with live willow stakes and other vegetation.

Area 3 (Developmental Center West)

Area 3 is a 900-foot long reach on the right bank of the Lower Duwamish Waterway starting immediately downstream of the Oxbow Bridge. The bank is generally made up of a steep upper bank covered with established vegetation (blackberry and ivy). A layer of angular rock, concrete slabs and rubble is observed mid-bank along the majority of the shoreline. Immediately downstream of the Oxbow Bridge, the steep bank extends into deep scour holes formed by the outside bend of the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The river reach within Area 3 transitions into the dredged and more tidally influenced waterway, where the lower bank has a fine-grained low sloping intertidal bench. There are locations along the facility boundary with cracking and sloughing and even slumping pavement parallel to the shoreline. Fence subsidence and leaning was observed in several places along the edge of the facility pavement.

Within Area 3, there are four timber pile dikes (training walls) that are oriented obliquely to the shoreline. Through recent assessment, a number of the timber piles appear to be missing or have decayed to a point

that replacement is recommended. The timber pile dikes maintain the river thalweg (deepest channel path) associated with higher velocities and shear stresses located immediately off the end of these structures, and thereby protect the bank from river scour. Erosion along the lower bank and toe through this reach has remained unaffected where timber pile dikes are present.

In addition, there are several concrete dock structures that are located along the top of the bank and extend out over the water on piles. Erosion of fine-grained sediment underneath these structures and undercutting of vegetation near the upper bank were noted.

The scope of work for this area includes:

- Removal of two pile supported range track docks including the removal of the superstructure, concrete
 decking, piles and portion of crane rails.
- · Removal of the invasive species vegetation above the existing wall.
- · Removal of concrete slabs and rubble
- Placement of approximately 900 lineal feet (LF) of riprap revetment at a 2H:1V slope to stabilize bank.
- · Log structures for habitat to be incorporated within the riprap slope.
- A natural coarse sediment mix to be placed within the interstitial spaces of the riprap.
- Establishment of vegetation between top of riprap and parking area.
- · Extension of the approximately seven stormwater outfalls, as necessary for riprap placement.
- Repair the four timber pile dikes along the project shoreline be replacing the damaged piles (approximately 17 piles).
- Replacement of adjacent asphalt pavement, curbing, fencing and pavement re-striping.

Area 4 (Developmental Center East)

Area 4 is a 210-foot long reach on the right bank of the Lower Duwamish Waterway starting immediately upstream of the Oxbow Bridge to the 102nd Street Bridge. The bank is generally composed of a fine-grained intertidal bench scattered with irregular angular rock and concrete rubble. The lower bank transitions into the upper bank near the OHWM and which has a steeper slope (greater than 2H:1V) that is covered with established vegetation (red alder, rushes, and blackberry). Upslope of the upper bank is a covered walking path setback 10 to 20-feet from the top of bank. Within Area 4, the lower intertidal bench was noted as having scour that appears to be resulting from either hyporheic exchange or groundwater seepage from the bank. Review of historical aerial photographs identify that this area was originally a relic drainage channel that was backfilled for development.

Within Area 4, there are three timber pile dikes oriented obliquely to the shoreline. Through recent assessment, some timber piles appear to be missing or have decayed to a point that replacement is recommended. The timber pile dikes maintain the river thalweg (deepest channel path) associated with higher velocities and shear stresses located immediately off the end of these structures, and thereby protect the bank from river scour.

The scope of work for this area includes:

- · Removal of concrete slabs and rubble
- Installation of anchored log structures aligned horizontally along the shoreline (just below OHWM) in two
 isolated locations where scour is most prevalent.
- Placement of riprap at a 2H:1V at the base of the logs to provide a stable platform.
- · A natural coarse sediment mix to be placed within the interstitial spaces of the riprap.
- · Placement of small diameter angular rock behind log structures to provide drainage behind logs.
- Above log structures and above OHWM installation of vegetated coir lifts incorporated with live willows stakes and other vegetation.
- Repair the three timber pile dikes along the project shoreline by replacing the damaged piles (approximately 11 piles).

6b. Describe the purpose of	the project and why you wa	ant or need to perform it. [help	
setting, and much of the bar Boeing is concerned that the	nk stabilization infrastructure e river bank erosion, subside	nes. The Lower Duwamish V is aging and has fallen into a ence, and loss of river bank n regrity of existing site facilities	a state of disrepair. naterials has led to
6c. Indicate the project cate	gory. (Check all that apply) [help		
⊠ Commercial □ R	Residential Institut	tional Transportation	on Recreational
	nvironmental Enhancement		
6d. Indicate the major element	ents of your project. (Check a	ll that apply) [help]	
☐ Aquaculture	☐ Culvert	□ Float	⊠ Retaining Wall
⊠ Bank Stabilization	☐ Dam / Weir	☐ Floating Home	(upland)
☐ Boat House	☑ Dike / Levee / Jetty	☐ Geotechnical Survey	□ Road
☐ Boat Launch	□ Ditch	☐ Land Clearing	☐ Scientific Measurement Device
☐ Boat Lift	□ Dock / Pier	☐ Marina / Moorage	□ Stairs
☐ Bridge	☐ Dredging	☐ Mining	☐ Stormwater facility
☐ Bulkhead	□ Fence	□ Outfall Structure	☐ Swimming Pool
□ Buoy	☐ Ferry Terminal	☐ Piling/Dolphin	☐ Utility Line
☐ Channel Modification	☐ Fishway	□ Raft	
structures at DC, and a cre	eosote-treated timber bulkhe	debris including: concrete ruled at Thompson. ement checked in 6d. Include	
methods and equipment		ament checked in od. moldde	specific construction
	ent will occur in relation to the nea	arest waterbody.	
	are within the 100-year floodplain.		
constructed from both onshore excavators for excavation are front loaders for movement of designated upland areas with consist of: barge mounted of stockpiled material located of equipment. In the area east equipment may be offloaded placed surrounding the work	ore and from a barge. Onshind placement of material, due of material from stockpiles to the appropriate erosion controllam-shell bucket excavator on a barge. It is anticipated to fithe Oxbow Bridge, there of the from a barge for final places area. Bank stabilization wis anticipated that work will be	riprap, and log structure place or construction is anticipate ump trucks for hauling material excavators. Stockpiled material of measures. Barge construction for excavation and placement that fine grading will be requised in a construction of the	d to consist of: al on and off site, and terials will be located in tion is anticipated to at of material and fred with onshore mall excavation tain is planned to be uwamish Waterway within

Retaining Wall – A sheet pile wall is proposed to be constructed along the Thompson Site (Area 1) above the OHWM. The sheet pile wall is anticipated to be built by heavy construction equipment mobilized from the upland side of the Lower Duwamish Waterway. Materials will be stockpiled in designated upland areas.

Outfall Structures – As part of the riprap revetment placement, there will be nine storm drainage pipes that will require extension for several feet so that the outlet is exposed past the new riprap. Excavation and backfill placement will be part of the bank stabilization work as previously discussed. Placement of the pipe will require construction equipment, such as an excavator, to lower the pipe in place.

Pile Dikes – The piles will be dislodged with a vibratory hammer, or pulled with heavy equipment (such as an excavator) when possible, and will not be intentionally broken by twisting or bending. The piles will be removed in a single, slow, and continuous motion in order to minimize sediment disturbance and turbidity in the water column. If a pile breaks above or below the mudline, it will be cut or pushed in the sediment consistent with agency-approved BMPs. Any cut or broken piles will be marked with GPS coordinates and provided to the regulatory agencies and Boeing to document any piles left in place. Removed piles, stubs, and associated sediments (if any) will be contained on a barge or upland. If piles are placed directly on the barge and not in a container, the storage area will consist of a row of hay or straw bales, filter fabric, or similar material placed around the perimeter of the barge. The Contractor will dispose of all creosote-treated material, pile stubs, and associated sediments (if any) in a landfill approved to accept those types of materials. Piles will be installed with a vibratory hammer to minimize the effects of underwater noise on ESA-listed species. A containment boom will surround the work area to contain and collect any floating debris and sheen. Any debris will be retrieved and disposed of properly.

Other - Removal of existing intertidally-located structures and debris including concrete rubble, two range track structures at DC, and a creosote treated timber bulkhead at Thompson. These items will typically be removed using an excavator from either a barge or from onshore. Material will be placed in either designated upland areas or on a barge for later disposal.

6f. What are the anticipated sta	art and end dates for project construct	tion? (Month/Year) [help]
 If the project will be constructed or stage. 	red in phases or stages, use <u>JARPA Attachme</u>	ent D to list the start and end dates of each phase
Start Date: June 1, 2020	End Date: <u>August 31, 2020</u>	☐ See JARPA Attachment D
6g. Fair market value of the pro	pject, including materials, labor, mach	ine rentals, etc. [help]
\$1,300,000 (Thompson) plus \$	2,000,000 (DC) = \$3,300,000 Total	
6h. Will any portion of the projection of the	ect receive federal funding? [help]	
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't	know	

Part 7-Wetlands: Impacts and Mitigation

Not applicable	a. Describe	how the	e project has been designed to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to wetlands. [help]
Yes	□ Not ap	plicable	
Yes			
Yes	7b. Will the p	oroject ir	npact wetlands? [help]
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know 7d. Has a wetland delineation report been prepared? [help] • If Yes, submit the report, including data sheets, with the JARPA package. ☐ Yes ☐ No 7e. Have the wetlands been rated using the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System? [help] • If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] • If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. • If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know Tg. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach washington.	□ Yes	□ No	□ Don't know
If Yes, submit the report, including data sheets, with the JARPA package. Yes	c. Will the p	roject ir	npact wetland buffers? [help]
If Yes, submit the report, including data sheets, with the JARPA package. Yes □ No 7e. Have the wetlands been rated using the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System? [help] If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. Yes □ No □ Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. Yes □ No □ Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the plan with the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the plan with the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the plan with the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a submit the plan with the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish.	□ Yes	□ No	□ Don't know
☐ Yes No 7e. Have the wetlands been rated using the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System? [help] • If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. ☐ Yes No ☐ Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] • If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. • If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. ☐ Yes No ☐ Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating Systems	d. Has a we	etland d	elineation report been prepared? [help]
7e. Have the wetlands been rated using the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System? [help] If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. Yes No Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. Yes No Don't know	 If Yes, 	submit th	e report, including data sheets, with the JARPA package.
System? [help] If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. Yes No Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. Yes No Don't know	□ Yes	□ No	
 If Yes, submit the wetland rating forms and figures with the JARPA package. Yes			ds been rated using the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating
 Yes □ No □ Don't know 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] • If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. • If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. □ Yes □ No □ Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach watershed. 	- 15 TA TANK THE STATE OF THE S	- Particular	e wetland rating forms and figures with the IAPPA nackage
 7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help] • If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. • If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach watershed. 	NOWANG ASIA	TOTAL STREET	
 If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. Yes No Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach was a summarized what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish. 			
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know 7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach wa			
7g. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish, and describe how a watershed approach wa	• If No, o	or Not ap	plicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required.
	☐ Yes	□ No	□ Don't know
used to design the plan. [neith]			
	useu to t	uesigii t	періап. [пеір]
	'h. Use the	table be	low to list the type and rating of each wetland impacted, the extent and duration of the

ORIA-16-011 Page 9 of 18

drain, excavate, flood, etc.)	Wetland Name ¹	Wetland type and rating category ²	Impact area (sq. ft. or Acres)	Duration of impact ³	Proposed mitigation type ⁴	Wetland mitigation area (sq. ft. or acres)
If no official name for the w such as a wetland delineati Ecology wetland category b with the JARPA package.	on report. based on current Wes or vears the wetland w	tern Washington or Ea	astern Washington	Wetland Rating Sy	stem. Provide the	6 B = 100
⁴ Creation (C), Re-establishn Page number(s) for s					eu fee (B)	
7i. For all filling activ	rities identified ir will be used, and	7h, describe the	e source and	nature of the	fill material, th	e amount in
7i. For all filling active cubic yards that very	rities identified in will be used, and	n 7h, describe th d how and where	e source and e it will be pla	nature of the ced into the w	fill material, thetal	e amount in
7i. For all filling activ cubic yards that v	rities identified ir will be used, and	n 7h, describe th d how and where	e source and e it will be pla	nature of the ced into the w	fill material, th etland. [help]	e amount in
7i. For all filling activ cubic yards that v	rities identified ir will be used, and	n 7h, describe th d how and where	e source and e it will be pla	nature of the ced into the w	fill material, thetal (the stand)	e amount in
7i. For all filling active cubic yards that we cubic yards that we cubic yards you we completely active to the cubic yards you we completely active to the cubic yards you we can active to the cubic yards active to the cubic yards	will be used, and	d how and where	e it will be pla	ced into the w	etland. [help]	
cubic yards that v	will be used, and	d how and where	e it will be pla	ced into the w	etland. [help]	
cubic yards that v	will be used, and	d how and where	e it will be pla	ced into the w	etland. [help]	

Part 8-Waterbodies (other than wetlands): Impacts and Mitigation

In Part 8, "waterbodies" refers to non-wetland waterbodies. (See Part 7 for information related to wetlands.) [help]

 □ Check here if there are waterbodies on or adjacent to the project area. (If there are none, skip to Part 9.) 8a. Describe how the project is designed to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to the aquatic environment. [help] ☐ Not applicable Site reconnaissance and desktop studies were completed at project initiation in order to prioritize areas with potentially unstable bank conditions. Through this process, only the most critical areas were identified for stabilization, thereby avoiding adverse impacts to the aquatic environment wherever possible. Where stabilization is considered critical, the footprint required to refurbish the riverbank has been minimized to the extent practicable. In addition, the following has been incorporated into the design to minimize impacts to the aquatic environment: Removal of existing intertidally- located structures and debris including concrete rubble, two range track structures at DC, and an existing timber pile wall at Thompson Ste. With removal of the existing timber pile wall and replacing with sloped riprap armoring, approximately 900 sf of intertidal zone habitat surface area will be gained at the Thompson Site. Removal of the invasive species vegetation at the Thompson Site and DC. A bio-engineered bank, with coir fabric and plantings installed above anchored log structures aligned horizontally along the shoreline (just below OHWM), was incorporated into the design in place of riprap armor where possible: in Area 2 (DC Slip 6) and Area 4 (DC East). Anchored large woody debris (LWD) will be incorporated in most riprap armor areas to provide fish habitat. Plantings will be incorporated above the riprap armor in Area 3 (DC West). A habitat bench will be incorporated into the riprap armor in Area 3 (DC West). A natural coarse sediment mix will be placed within the interstitial spaces of the riprap in all riprap armor areas. To extent practicable, construction will be performed during periods of low tide when work can be performed out of the water. 8b. Will your project impact a waterbody or the area around a waterbody? [help] ☐ No 8c. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for the project's adverse impacts to non-wetland waterbodies? [help] If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 8d. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required. ⊠ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Yes Project mitigation requirements are to be determined. 8d. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish. Describe how a watershed approach was used to design the plan. If you already completed 7g you do not need to restate your answer here. [help]

ORIA-16-011 Page 11 of 18

Activity (clear, dredge, fill, pile drive, etc.)	Waterbody name ¹	Impact location ²	Duration of impact ³	Amount of material (cubic yards) to be placed in or removed from waterbody	Area (sq. ft. or linear ft.) of waterbody directly affected
Area 1 (Thompsor	n Site)	1101			
Excavation				620 cy	
Fill (rock material)	Duwamish	Waterward	Permanent	310 cy	3,160 sf
Stormwater Outfall Extension	Waterway	of OHWM		N.A.	
Area 2 (DC Slip 6)	·				
Excavation	Duwamish	Waterward	D	0 cy	1,190 sf
Fill (rock material)	Waterway	of OHWM	Permanent	110 cy	
Area 3 (DC West)				100,000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
Excavation				1,630 cy	
Fill (rock material)				6,300 cy	
Pile removal and replacement	Duwamish	Waterward	Permanent	N.A.	36,900 sf
Stormwater Outfall Extension	Waterway	of OHWM		N.A.	
Range track dock removal				N.A.	
Area 4 (DC East)					
Excavation				50 cy	
Fill (rock material)	Duwamish Waterway	100 VI 10	2	1,100 cy	
Pile removal and replacement		Waterward of OHWM	Permanent	N.A.	2,940 sf
Stormwater Outfall Extension				N.A.	
Project Total	/				
Excavation	Duwamish	Waterward	Permanent	2,300 cy	44,190 sf
Fill (rock material)	Waterway	of OHWM		7,820 cy	

If no official name for the waterbody exists, create a unique name (such as "Stream 1") The name should be consistent with other documents

provided.

2 Indicate whether the impact will occur in or adjacent to the waterbody. If adjacent, provide the distance between the impact and the waterbody and indicate whether the impact will occur within the 100-year flood plain.

Indicate the days, months or years the waterbody will be measurably impacted by the work. Enter "permanent" if applicable.

⁸f. For all activities identified in 8e, describe the source and nature of the fill material, amount (in cubic yards) you will use, and how and where it will be placed into the waterbody. [help]

Materials placed within the Lower Duwamish Waterway will include natural log piles, geotextile filter fabric, gravel filter rock, and riprap. A total volume of filter rock, riprap and natural coarse sediment is estimated to be approximately 7,820 cy. Log and rock materials will be imported from offsite. All materials placed within the waterway will be installed within the turbidity curtain. Log piles will be installed using a vibratory hammer. Installation of geotextile and rock materials will be placed from either the landward top of bank or from a barge anchored within the Lower Duwamish Waterway.

8g. For all excavating or dredging activities identified in 8e, describe the method for excavating or dredging, type and amount of material you will remove, and where the material will be disposed. [help]

Shoreline materials excavated will be regraded on-site as appropriate or disposed of offsite at approved landfill (approximately 2,300 cy). The removal of material is anticipated to be completed by an excavator with either a standard or clam shell bucket, as conditions dictate. Metal, concrete or other debris identified and encountered on the bank will be removed and properly disposed of off-site.

ORIA-16-011 Page 13 of 18

Part 9-Additional Information

Any additional information you can provide helps the reviewer(s) understand your project. Complete as much of this section as you can. It is ok if you cannot answer a question.

9a. If you have already w	9a. If you have already worked with any government agencies on this project, list them below. [help]					
Agency Name	Contact Name	Phone	Most Recent Date of Contact			
 Department of Ecolog If Yes, list the parame If you don't know, use http://www.ecy.wa.gov 	ter(s) below. Washington Department of Ecology':					
⊠ Yes □ No						
than 0.5 units Turbidity: 10 NTU over be turbidity when the background Bacteria: Fecal coliform of with not more than 10 per obtained for calculating the second s	: None 6.5 mg/L range of 6.5 to 8.5, with a hur ackground when the background turbidity is more than 50 organism levels must not exceed the cent of all samples (or any single geometric mean value exceed Survey Hydrological Unit Code	and is 50 NTU or less; or a NTU ed a geometric mean valu gle sample when less tha eding 400 colonies /100 r e (HUC) is the project in	A 20 percent increase in ue of 200 colonies/100 mL, an ten sample points exist) mL.			
The second secon	gov/surf/locate/index.cfm to help ider	tify the HUC.				
HUC 17110013		N .				
	e Inventory Area Number (WR va.gov/water/wria/index.html to find the	AND ASSESSED TO A PROPERTY OF A PARTY OF THE	elp]			
9e. Will the in-water consturbidity? [help]	truction work comply with the s		er quality standards for			
⊠ Yes □ No □ I	Not applicable					
environment designatiIf you don't know, cont	he jurisdiction of the Shoreline on? [help] act the local planning department. go to: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/progran					
⊠ Urban □ Natura	☐ Aquatic ☐ Conservar	ncy 🗆 Other:				

ORIA-16-011

9g. What is the Washington Department of Natural Resources Water Type? [help] • Go to http://www.dnr.wa.gov/forest-practices-water-typing for the Forest Practices Water Typing System.
 9h. Will this project be designed to meet the Washington Department of Ecology's most current stormwater manual? [help] If No, provide the name of the manual your project is designed to meet.
⊠ Yes □ No
Name of manual: 2016 King County Surface Water Design Manual
9i. Does the project site have known contaminated sediment? [help] • If Yes, please describe below.
⊠ Yes □ No
Site is adjacent to and within the Lower Duwamish Superfund Site.
9j. If you know what the property was used for in the past, describe below. [help]
Agriculture prior to The Boeing Company
9k. Has a cultural resource (archaeological) survey been performed on the project area? [help] • If Yes, attach it to your JARPA package.
□ Yes ⊠ No

ORIA-16-011 Page 15 of 18

9I. Name each species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act that occurs in the vicinity of the project area or might be affected by the proposed work. [help]

Species Name			ESA	Critical	Critical Habitat	
Common Name	Scientific Name	ESU or DPS*	Listing Status	Habitat	in Action Area	
Chinook Salmon	(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)	Puget Sound ESU	Threatened	Designated	Yes	
Steelhead	(Oncorhynchus mykiss)	Puget Sound DPS	Threatened	Designated	Yes	
Bull Trout	(Salvelinus confluentus)	Coastal Puget Sound DPS	Threatened	Designated	Yes	
Marbled Murrelet	Brachyramphus marmoratus	CA/WA/OR DPS	Threatened	Designated	No	

USFWS identifies additional federally listed species that occur in King County, including: North American wolverine (Gulo gulo luscus), Streaked horned lark (Eremophila alpestris strigata), and Yellow-billed cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus). There is no suitable habitat for these species in the urban area along the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The proposed project will have no effect on these species.

9m. Name each species or habitat on the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Priority Habitats and Species List that might be affected by the proposed work. [help]

The following species on the WDFW Priority Habitats and Species List occur in the vicinity of the project but are not expected to be affected by the proposed work.

- Duwamish River/Waterway Bull Trout/Dolly Varden (Salvinus confluentus/S. malma)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Chum (Oncohynchus keta)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Pink Salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Cutthroat (Oncorhynchus clarki)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Sockeye (Oncorhynchus nerka)
- Duwamish River/Waterway Steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
- Western Pond Turtle (Actinemys marmorata)

Part 10-SEPA Compliance and Permits

Use the resources and checklist below to identify the permits you are applying for.

- Online Project Questionnaire at http://apps.oria.wa.gov/opas/.
- Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance at (800) 917-0043 or help@oria.wa.gov.
- For a list of addresses to send your JARPA to, click on agency addresses for completed JARPA.

One of the state	
☐ A copy of the SEPA determination or letter	
☐ A SEPA determination is pending with is	(lead agency). The expected decision date
☐ I am applying for a Fish Habitat Enhancem	ent Exemption. (Check the box below in 10b.) [help]
☐ This project is exempt (choose type of exer☐ Categorical Exemption. Under what sect	mption below). tion of the SEPA administrative code (WAC) is it exempt?
☐ Other:	
☐ SEPA is pre-empted by federal law.	
10b. Indicate the permits you are applying for. (0	Check all that apply.) [help]
Lo	CAL GOVERNMENT
Local Government Shoreline permits:	
☐ Substantial Development☐ Conditiona☐ Shoreline Exemption Type (explain):	
Other City/County permits:	
☐ Floodplain Development Permit ☐ Critic	cal Areas Ordinance
	TATE GOVERNMENT
Washington Department of Fish and Wildl	ife:
⊠ Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) ☐ Fish	sh Habitat Enhancement Exemption – Attach Exemption Form
Washington Department of Natural Resou	rces:
 Aquatic Use Authorization Complete <u>JARPA Attachment E</u> and submit a ch <u>Do not send cash.</u> 	eck for \$25 payable to the Washington Department of Natural Resources.
Washington Department of Ecology:	
⊠ Section 401 Water Quality Certification	
FED	PERAL GOVERNMENT
United States Department of the Army per	mits (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers):
☐ Section 404 (discharges into waters of the U.S.)	⊠ Section 10 (work in navigable waters)
United States Coast Guard permits:	
☐ General Bridge Act Permit	☐ Private Aids to Navigation (for non-bridge projects)

Part 11-Authorizing Signatures

Signatures are required before submitting the JARPA package. The JARPA package includes the JARPA form, project plans, photos, etc. [help]

11a. Applicant Signature (required) [help]

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided in this application is true, complete, and accurate. I also certify that I have the authority to carry out the proposed activities, and I agree to start work only after I have received all necessary permits.

I hereby authorize the agent named in Part 3 of this application to act on my behalf in matters related to this application. _Mp__ (initial)

By initialing here, I state that I have the authority to grant access to the property. I also give my consent to the permitting agencies entering the property where the project is located to inspect the project site or any work related to the project. _____ (initial)

Applicant Printed Name

Applicant Signature

6/21/2019

11b. Authorized Agent Signature [help]

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided in this application is true, complete, and accurate. I also certify that I have the authority to carry out the proposed activities and I agree to start work only after all necessary permits have been issued.

Scott Stoneman, Golder

Authorized Agent Printed Name

Authorized Agent Signature

6/21/2019

Date

11c. Property Owner Signature (if not applicant) [help]

Not required if project is on existing rights-of-way or easements (provide copy of easement with JARPA).

I consent to the permitting agencies entering the property where the project is located to inspect the project site or any work. These inspections shall occur at reasonable times and, if practical, with prior notice to the landowner.

Property Owner Printed Name

Property Owner Signature

Date

18 U.S.C §1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than 5 years or both.

If you require this document in another format, contact the Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) at (800) 917-0043. People with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. People with a speech disability can call (877) 833-6341. ORIA publication number: ORIA-16-011 rev. 07/2017

SHEET: 1 OF 10

DATE: 9/27/2019

STATE: Washington

Other adjacent parcels are owned by Boeing

LEGEND AND NOTES

LEGEND

O EXISTING SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE COO EXISTING STORM DRAIN CLEAN-OUT ☐ EXISTING CATCH BASIN M EXISTING WATER VALVE DHA SURVEY CONTROL \wedge * EXISTING LIGHT POLE EXISTING JUNCTION BOX . EXISTING POWER MANHOLE MW **(**€ EXISTING MONITOR WELL EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT EXISTING CONIFER TREE EXISTING DECIDUOUS TREE PROPOSED TESC INLET PROTECTION PROPOSED LARGE WOODY DEBRIS (LWD) / LOGS - PROPERTY LINE - - 35 - EXISTING CONTOUR - 35 ------ PROPOSED CONTOUR — — — BASE FLOOD ELEVATION (100-YR) (BFE) ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) - - - SHORELINE BUFFER (100-FT OFFSET FROM OHWM) ------ MEAN HIGHER HIGH WATER (MHHW) /////// EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT • • • • • • EXISTING GUARDRAIL X FXISTING FENCE LINE ---- PROPOSED FENCE LINE ⇒··· —> ··· — EXISTING DITCH EXISTING CHILLED WATER LINE

DW EXISTING DOMESTIC WATER LINE FW-----EXISTING FIRE WATER LINE ---- G---- G--- EXISTING GAS LINE EXISTING STORM DRAIN LINE ---- E---- E--- EXISTING UNDERGROUND POWER LINE ---- T---- T --- EXISTING UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE LINE — — — PROPOSED CLEARING LIMITS ____x____x____ __ sf ____ sf ___ — x — PROPOSED PROJECT CONSTRUCTION LIMITS OR TEMPORARY FENCE - PROPOSED SILT FENCE PROPOSED TURBIDITY CURTAIN PROPOSED SAWCUT LINE

SURVEY NOTES:

COMPLETED IN JUNE 2017.

PROPOSED NEW PAVEMENT

HORIZONTAL DATUM:

WASHINGTON STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NORTH ZONE NAD 83(91)

VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD88, US FEET.

VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION TABLE NOAA/NOS 8TH AVENUE BENCHMARK					
TIDE PLANE	MLLW	NAVD88	NGVD29		
Estimated Highest Water	15.0	12.5	9.0		
Base Flood El. (100-YR)	14.8	12.3	8.8		
Ordinary High Water Mark (Avg. El.)	14.4	10.9	8.4		
Mean Higher High Water	11.1	8.6	5.1		
Mean High Water	10.2	7.7	4.2		
Mean Tide Level	6.45	3.97	0.44		
Mean Low Water	2.7	0.2	-3.3		
Mean Lower Low Water	0.00	-2.48	-6.01		

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: The Boeing Company PROPOSED PROJECT: DC and Thompson Riverbank Refurbishment

LOCATION: E. Marginal Way S. Tukwila, Washington

SHEET: 2 OF 10 DATE: 9/27/2019











